CONFERENCE PAPER
International Conference on Pedagogical Science and Digital Learning 2025

Accepted: October 17, 2025 | **Published:** October 26, 2025 | **Language:** English

Generative AI as a Digital Learning Companion: Opportunities and Risks in Higher Education

Khalil Israfilzade [1]

[1]Dr., Assistant Professor, Faculty of Economics and Management, Vytautas Magnus University, Lithuania

✉ **Corresponding author:**
khalil.israfilzade@vdu.lt

ABSTRACT: This paper examines the dual-edged role of generative artificial intelligence as a digital learning companion in higher education, critically analysing its transformative opportunities alongside significant ethical and pedagogical risks. The primary objective is to synthesise current research to understand how GenAI tools—such as ChatGPT, Gemini, and AI-integrated learning platforms—can enhance personalised learning, academic writing, accessibility, and student engagement, while simultaneously identifying and addressing concerns related to academic integrity, cognitive overreliance, algorithmic bias, misinformation, data privacy, and equitable access. The study employs a comprehensive literature review methodology, drawing on recent empirical and conceptual publications from 2023 to 2025. Key findings reveal that GenAI significantly improves learning efficiency, motivation, and inclusivity—particularly for non-native speakers, neurodiverse learners, and those in under-resourced settings—yet its benefits are contingent upon human-in-the-loop design, teacher supervision, and theory-informed implementation. The study concludes that GenAI's greatest value lies not as a replacement for educators but as an augmentative, ethically governed partner within human-centred pedagogical frameworks. These insights underscore the urgent need for coordinated policy development, AI literacy training, and collaborative governance to responsibly harness the potential of GenAI in advancing equitable, innovative, and pedagogically sound higher education.

KEYWORDS: Artificial intelligence, Higher education, Generative AI, ChatGPT, Personalised learning, Student engagement

How to cite: Israfilzad, K. (2025). Generative AI as a Digital Learning Companion: Opportunities and Risks in Higher Education. *International Conference on Pedagogical Science and Digital Learning 2025*, (pp. 253-264). Futurity Research Publishing. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.17630696

Introduction

The rapid proliferation of generative artificial intelligence (GenAI)—systems capable of producing human-like text, images, code, and multimodal content—has catalysed a profound transformation in higher education (Israfilzade, 2025a). Since the public debut of tools such as ChatGPT, Claude, and Gemini, universities worldwide have begun integrating GenAI into teaching, learning, and administrative functions, drawn by its potential to democratize access to expertise, personalise instruction, and support diverse learners (Guettala et al., 2024; Kars et al., 2023; Batta, 2024). Unlike earlier AI systems designed primarily for automation or data analytics, GenAI engages in dialogue, co-creation, and adaptive problem-solving, positioning it uniquely as a "digital learning companion" that can extend learning beyond the classroom and provide on-demand academic support (Zapata-Rivera et al., 2024).

While emerging studies highlight significant benefits—including improved student engagement, accelerated skill development, and enhanced accessibility for neurodiverse and multilingual learners (Kestin et al., 2025; Stienstra et al., 2025; Bura & Myakala, 2024)—a growing body of literature also identifies serious risks. These include threats to academic integrity through AI-generated submissions, cognitive overreliance that may erode critical thinking, the propagation of misinformation and algorithmic bias, and pressing concerns around data privacy and equity in access (Tang et al., 2025; Binhammad et al., 2024; Dawkhar et al., 2025). Moreover, the effectiveness of GenAI as a learning tool appears highly contingent on pedagogical design: research consistently shows that its benefits are maximised only when paired with human supervision, ethical guidelines, and theory-informed implementation (Nikolopoulou, 2024; Makransky et al., 2025; Cosentino et al., 2025).

This tension between transformative potential and systemic risk highlights a critical gap in current scholarship: while GenAI is being rapidly adopted, there is a lack of consensus on *how* it should be integrated responsibly as a learning companion in ways that enhance—rather than undermine—educational values. Existing studies often focus narrowly on either opportunities or risks, without synthesising the conditions under which GenAI can function as a genuinely supportive, equitable, and pedagogically sound partner in learning.

Accordingly, this paper seeks to address this gap by critically reviewing recent empirical and conceptual literature to answer the following research questions:

1. *How is GenAI currently being conceptualised and deployed as a digital learning companion in higher education?*
2. *What evidence-based opportunities does GenAI offer for personalised, inclusive, and engaging learning experiences?*
3. *What are the most pressing ethical, pedagogical, and systemic risks associated with its use—and how can they be mitigated?*
4. *What pedagogical frameworks and policy mechanisms are necessary to ensure GenAI augments, rather than replaces, human-centred education?*

By examining these questions through a synthesis of recent findings, this study aims to provide a balanced, evidence-based foundation for the responsible integration of GenAI in higher education—ensuring that its role as a digital learning companion advances both innovation and integrity in teaching and learning.

Literature Review

This literature review examines how GenAI is being positioned as a digital learning companion in higher education. It outlines the core features of GenAI tools and their current use in academic settings, followed by a discussion of the opportunities they provide for personalised learning, writing support, and accessibility. The review also highlights key risks, including concerns about academic integrity, misinformation, and data privacy issues, and concludes by considering the pedagogical and policy measures necessary for responsible implementation.

Conceptualising Generative AI as a Digital Learning Companion

Recent research (Guettala et al., 2024) indicates that higher education is transitioning from Education 4.0 to Education 5.0, where GenAI facilitates not only personalised learning but also social-emotional learning and human connection. This evolution emphasises comprehensive student development beyond traditional academic metrics.

A digital learning companion is defined as a tool that assists students in idea development, practising skills, providing feedback, and extending learning beyond the classroom. Unlike traditional educational technologies, GenAI offers dialogue-based, responsive, and personalised interaction, which simulates tutor-like support. This makes it qualitatively different from earlier forms of automation or e-learning tools.

GenAI functions as an "ever-present mentor" that offers learning aids beyond class hours, facilitating continuous learning and immediate clarification of doubts (Zapata-Rivera et al., 2024). This companion model represents a fundamental shift from viewing AI as merely a tool to understanding it as an interactive learning partner capable of dynamic adaptation to individual student needs. Higher education institutions are increasingly adopting these tools for content generation, personalised learning experiences, administrative efficiency, and enhanced student engagement (Mittal, 2024)

The digital learning companion concept encompasses several key features:

- AI companions analyse learner proficiency levels, learning styles, and pace to tailor study materials accordingly
- Through sophisticated conversational interfaces, these systems promote engaging, customised learning journeys that mimic human tutoring relationships.
- Unlike human tutors, AI companions offer 24/7 support, which is crucial for learners who encounter obstacles during self-study periods.
- Real-time adjustment of instructions and feedback based on each learner's progress and emotional state
- Increasingly supports text, code, visual, and spoken inputs/outputs.

Standard tools and platforms in higher education encompass a range of GenAI applications. The most widely adopted GenAI tools include:

- ChatGPT and other large language models (e.g. Claude, Microsoft Copilot, Cohere, Groq, PERPLEXITY) for explanation, writing support, and reasoning.
- Copilot and Google Gemini for programming assistance and task automation.
- AI-integrated LMS tools (Canvas, Moodle plugins).

- AI writing assistants such as Grammarly, QuillBot, and Wordtune.

These platforms vary in complexity, but all share the ability to generate coherent responses tailored to user input, positioning them as potential learning companions.

Specialised academic applications of GenAI are emerging in targeted areas, and their use is increasing across various courses. Research identifies specific academic use cases (Zapata-Rivera et al., 2024):

- ChatGPT supporting pre-service mathematics teachers in creating geometric proofs
- AI mentors like Google Gemini are integrated into platforms such as MyIDP for career development
- LLMs are generating automated listening tasks and personalised educational assessments

The landscape includes established models like DALL-E and Midjourney for visual content creation (Israfilzade, 2025b), alongside Bard and DeepMind for various educational applications (Kars et al., 2023). These tools collectively demonstrate AI's capacity to generate human-like text, images, and interactive simulations across diverse educational contexts.

GenAI exhibits promising potential to outperform traditional tutoring methods, with multiple studies demonstrating significant improvements in learning. Authors (Kestin et al., 2025) found that students learn more than twice as much in less time using an AI tutor compared to active learning classes, with increased engagement and motivation. Furthermore, research revealed that AI-powered teaching assistants can reduce study time by approximately 27% (Möller et al., 2024).

However, the effectiveness is nuanced: the study emphasises that teacher supervision is critical, significantly increasing learning engagement and knowledge mastery when integrated with GenAI (Batta, 2024; Tang et al., 2025). The research suggests AI is most effective as a complementary tool rather than a complete replacement for traditional instruction, with the potential to personalise and accelerate learning when properly implemented.

Opportunities Offered by Generative AI in Higher Education

1. *Personalised Learning and Adaptive Support*. GenAI enables students to receive instant, customised explanations that match their pace of learning. Unlike instructors constrained by time and classroom size, GenAI can deliver an endless supply of practice questions, step-by-step reasoning, and alternative explanations. Students who previously hesitated to ask questions in class can do so privately with AI, reducing anxiety and improving confidence. For example, a learner struggling with statistical concepts can request simplified analogies or step-by-step walkthroughs of problems.

Research has shown that AI-generated, personalised learning materials can improve student performance, as technology is capable of creating content tailored to individual learning objectives and needs (Binhammad et al., 2024). Authors found that theory-informed AI chatbots significantly enhanced conceptual knowledge and student trust compared to generic AI systems (Makransky et al., 2025).

Technology can adapt content generation strategies to meet distinct preferences and learners' needs, increasing student engagement and comprehension while transforming traditional teaching methodologies (Zapata-Rivera et al., 2024). AI tutors offer continuous mentorship beyond traditional classroom hours, providing learning aids during self-study periods and clarifying doubts immediately. This creates opportunities for 24/7 educational support and intervention.

2. Enhanced Academic Writing, Feedback, and Tutoring. GenAI supports iterative writing processes by offering real-time grammar checks, structural suggestions, and alternative phrasings. It can simulate peer or tutor feedback, helping students refine arguments before formal submission. In STEM fields, AI tools assist with debugging code or modelling problem-solving pathways. While not replacing human mentors, they serve as low-stakes practice partners that reduce cognitive load and encourage revision. GenAI tools can help students:

- Brainstorm research ideas
- Structure essays
- Improve grammar and academic tone
- Analyse existing literature

While the extent of AI-generated content varies, many students report using AI as a *feedback and revision* tool rather than a whole content generator. For educators, this opens opportunities to teach *writing as a critical thinking process*, encouraging transparency and reflective learning.

According to the authors (Guettala et al., 2024), significant opportunities exist in the field of automated content generation.

- AI can analyse curricula and generate diverse assessment materials, ensuring alignment with learning objectives.
- Creation of simulations, diagrams, and interactive educational aids that adapt to different learning modalities
- Transformation of text-based lessons into visual, audio, or interactive formats to accommodate various learning preferences

Research found that AI-generated feedback can support learning effectively while reducing cognitive load, particularly when integrated with human instruction in hybrid approaches (Cosentino et al., 2025). GenAI revolutionises evaluation processes through:

- Objective assessment of both multiple-choice and complex written responses, eliminating subjective bias
- Individual, targeted feedback that addresses specific areas needing improvement, rather than generic responses
- Immediate evaluation and guidance during learning activities

3. Increased Engagement and Accessibility. Multiple studies report improved student satisfaction and engagement. Therefore, the study by Kestin et al. (2025) found that students felt more engaged and motivated when interacting with AI tutors. Authors Stienstra et al. (2025) noted that most first-year computer science students preferred AI tutors over human tutors due to convenience, accessibility, and a stress-free learning environment. GenAI significantly lowers barriers for neurodiverse learners, non-native speakers, and students with disabilities. Text-to-speech, summarisation, and plain-language translation functions enhance comprehension. Multilingual models enable students to engage with academic content in their preferred language and translate outputs into English for submission. This supports inclusive pedagogy and global classroom participation.

For international students and learners with disabilities, GenAI can reduce barriers to participation. It can:

- Translate academic content
- Simplify complex texts
- Provide text-to-speech and speech-to-text support
- Offer tutoring in multiple languages

This supports more inclusive learning environments and reduces inequities linked to language proficiency. Technology offers solutions that maintain quality and personalisation even when serving millions of students, ensuring consistent educational experiences across large audiences (Guettala et al., 2024). This democratizes access to high-quality, personalised education regardless of institutional size or resources.

In addition to the commonly discussed applications, GenAI offers other significant opportunities in Higher Education. One key area is Equity and Innovation, where research emphasises AI's potential as a catalyst for addressing existing equity gaps while fostering creative engagement and innovation in educational delivery, as noted by Chiranjeevi Bura et al. (2024). This enables institutions to provide high-quality, personalised education regardless of resource constraints. Another crucial opportunity lies in Future-Ready Skills Development, where GenAI integration prepares students for technologically advanced careers while simultaneously developing the digital literacy and critical thinking skills essential for the modern workforce, as noted by Sirine Bouguettaya et al. (2025) and other researchers, including Batta (2024).

Risks and Challenges of Generative AI Integration

1. Academic Integrity and Overreliance. A primary concern is that students may submit AI-generated work as their own, bypassing genuine learning. Overreliance on GenAI may reduce students' ability to:

- Think critically
- Solve problems independently
- Engage in academic struggle, which is key to deep learning

Researcher Stienstra et al. (2025) observed students' misuse and overreliance on AI tutors, highlighting concerns about dependency. Authors (Tang et al., 2025) specifically noted concerns about over-reliance, particularly among adolescents, emphasising that these risks require careful management.

Scholars (Binhammad et al., 2024) found that, despite overall improvements in test scores, some students did not benefit from AI-generated study materials, indicating that effectiveness varies based on factors such as students' interest, prior knowledge, and the quality of the AI model. While other studies (Stienstra et al., 2025) also noted variability in prompt effectiveness, suggesting inconsistent learning experiences. Institutions are still developing detection tools and policies, and many acknowledge that purely punitive approaches are insufficient.

2. Pedagogical and Human-Centred Concerns. Pedagogical and human-centred concerns remain central when integrating GenAI into higher education. One major issue is the risk of over-dependence, whereby students and even educators may rely too heavily on AI systems, reducing active engagement and weakening core learning skills (Gupta et al., 2025). Additionally, the human element of education—including empathy, mentorship, and interpersonal connection—cannot be replicated by AI, raising concerns that teaching and learning may become transactional rather than relational (Nikolopoulou, 2024; Guettala et al., 2024). At the same time, the widespread availability of GenAI tools introduces new challenges to academic integrity, as students may use AI to produce work that is not genuinely their own, thereby complicating traditional notions of authenticity and assessment (Guettala

et al., 2024; Francis et al., 2025).

3. Potential Bias and Misinformation. GenAI systems reflect the limitations and biases inherent in the data on which they are trained (Israfilzade, 2025a, 2025b). This can lead to:

- Incorrect or fabricated information
- Reinforcement of stereotypes
- Limited representation of minority perspectives

Authors (Tang et al., 2025) identified misinformation as a key concern when integrating GenAI into education, noting that without proper oversight, AI systems may provide students with inaccurate or misleading information.

GenAI models are trained on vast, often uncurated internet datasets, which may embed societal biases or factual inaccuracies—so-called "*hallucinations*." In disciplines such as history, sociology, or public health, an uncritical reliance on AI-generated narratives can propagate misinformation or reinforce stereotypes. Educators must emphasise source verification and critical AI literacy.

AI models trained on historical datasets may perpetuate societal biases, potentially generating educational content that reinforces exclusions or distorts information (Manel Guettala et al., 2024). This can result in biased educational resources that fail to provide comprehensive or unbiased perspectives on various subjects Chiranjeevi Bura et al., 2024.

Quality and accuracy issues also pose significant challenges in the application of GenAI for education. While these systems can produce large volumes of material quickly, this may come at the expense of precision and reliability, leading to misinformation and misunderstandings that negatively affect student learning outcomes (Guettala et al., 2024; Yadav et al., 2024). Additionally, AI models may face overfitting and generalisation limitations, performing effectively with familiar or well-structured content but struggling to respond appropriately to new topics or diverse learner contexts (Guettala et al., 2024). Another concern is the lack of model interpretability, as educators and learners often struggle to fully understand how AI-generated outputs are produced, thereby complicating efforts to ensure transparency, accountability, and an accurate representation of learner needs (Zapata-Rivera et al., 2024).

Finally, students may lack the skills to evaluate the credibility of AI-generated outputs, which highlights the need for AI literacy education.

4. Privacy, Data Security, and Institutional Concerns. Using GenAI tools often requires sharing text, assignments, or personal information (Israfilzade, 2025b). This raises concerns about:

- Data is being stored and reused by AI providers
- Compliance with privacy regulations
- Loss of academic intellectual property

Universities must establish clear guidelines to protect student data and ensure its ethical use.

Ethical and privacy issues have become increasingly prominent as AI-powered educational platforms rely on extensive data collection and continuous tracking of student interactions. This raises significant concerns regarding data ownership, storage security, informed consent, and institutional data governance, as noted by Guettala et al. (2024), Binhammad et al. (2024), and Dawkhar et al. (2025). Effective and ethical implementation also requires

proper supervision and instructional design, as teacher oversight plays a crucial role in guiding meaningful AI use and preventing misuse (Tang et al., 2025). Moreover, Makransky et al. (2025) highlight the necessity of applying principles from educational psychology and human-centred design to ensure that AI systems genuinely support learning rather than simply automate tasks. Finally, equity and accessibility remain central concerns, as AI may unintentionally widen the educational divide if access to tools, digital infrastructure, and training is uneven across student groups (Dawkhar et al., 2025).

5. Implementation and Systemic Challenges. Implementation and systemic challenges also influence the effective use of GenAI in higher education. Unequal access to reliable technology and digital resources contributes to a digital divide, potentially widening disparities between well-resourced and under-resourced institutions as well as among students from different socioeconomic backgrounds (Yadav et al., 2024). Additionally, the technical infrastructure required to support AI tools—including computational capacity, software maintenance, and financial investment—can be complex for many institutions to sustain (Yadav et al., 2024). Another critical issue involves educator preparedness, as many instructors lack adequate training in AI literacy, which limits their ability to integrate GenAI effectively and ethically into teaching practices (Kars et al., 2023). Furthermore, maintaining the pedagogical integrity of AI-generated content requires continuous validation and oversight to ensure quality, relevance, and alignment with learning outcomes (Guettala et al., 2024; Francis et al., 2025).

Addressing these challenges requires coordinated, strategic mitigation efforts. Research emphasises the importance of collaboration between educators, technologists, and institutional leaders to develop shared implementation frameworks and best practices (Alali et al., 2024). Establishing ethical guidelines and transparency standards for AI operations is essential to ensure that students understand when and how AI is being used. Finally, adopting human-in-the-loop approaches, where educators remain actively involved in reviewing AI output and guiding its use, offers a balanced pathway that retains human judgment while leveraging the efficiency and adaptability of AI tools.

Pedagogical and Policy Considerations

Rather than banning GenAI, educators are increasingly adopting a guided use approach, in which students are taught to critically evaluate AI outputs and use these tools as learning supports rather than replacements for academic effort. This shift requires designing assessments that highlight reasoning, process, and reflection on how AI was used, while encouraging transparency and accountability in student work. In this context, the role of educators evolves from being primary content deliverers to facilitators of AI literacy, which includes modelling ethical engagement, integrating critical AI analysis into coursework, and developing assignments that require human insight. To enable this transition, sustained professional development is necessary to build instructor confidence and capability in managing student–AI interactions. Overall, the effective pedagogical and policy integration of GenAI requires comprehensive frameworks that uphold educational integrity while leveraging technological innovation in a human-centred and pedagogically meaningful manner.

1. Pedagogical Framework Development. Human–AI collaboration in education highlights that GenAI should augment, rather than replace, human instruction. AI is best positioned to support routine or repetitive tasks, provide personalised explanations, and offer data-driven insights. At the same time, educators continue to play a crucial role in fostering critical thinking, mentoring students, and facilitating a deep conceptual understanding that AI cannot replicate (Hashmi & Bal, 2024; Guettala et al., 2024). To achieve this balance, institutions are encouraged to

adopt adaptive teaching methodologies that leverage AI's personalisation capabilities while maintaining academic rigour. Hybrid instructional models, in which AI-generated content is reviewed and contextualised by educators, ensure that learning materials remain accurate, meaningful, and aligned with course objectives (Zapata-Rivera et al., 2024). Central to these approaches is the intentional development of students' critical thinking skills by teaching them to interpret, question, and evaluate AI outputs rather than passively accept them.

Teacher supervision and hybrid intelligence models have been shown to enhance learning outcomes significantly. Tang et al. (2025) demonstrated that students engaging with AI under teacher guidance exhibited higher levels of engagement and knowledge retention compared to those using AI independently. Cosentino et al. (2025) further advocate for human–AI co-feedback systems, where both educators and AI support learners in complementary ways rather than substituting one for the other. Moreover, theory-informed design is crucial to the effective integration of AI. Makransky et al. (2025) and Kestin et al. (2025) highlight that AI tools grounded in educational psychology and human-centred design principles outperform generic systems, producing higher conceptual understanding and greater student trust. These findings underscore the importance of pedagogically aligned, human-supervised, and theory-based AI implementation in higher education.

2. Policy and Governance Frameworks. Effective policy development is essential for the responsible integration of GenAI in higher education. Institutions must establish ethical guidelines and standards that address data privacy, algorithmic bias, and responsible use, while also providing educators with the training necessary to navigate these challenges (Dhagare et al., 2024). In parallel, academic integrity policies need to move beyond prohibition and instead clearly define acceptable uses of AI that support learning without replacing authentic student effort or original thought (Guettala et al., 2024). Additionally, quality assurance mechanisms are required to ensure that AI-generated educational content remains accurate, pedagogically sound, and aligned with course objectives. This includes ongoing validation processes, regular review, and human-in-the-loop approaches to maintain educational integrity and uphold instructional standards (Alali et al., 2024).

Developing ethical and regulatory frameworks is crucial to ensure that GenAI is adopted in a manner that promotes trust, transparency, and equitable access, supporting human instruction rather than replacing it (Cosentino et al., 2025). This includes careful attention to quality assurance, where the selection and implementation of AI models must prioritise accuracy, pedagogical value, and human-centred design (Binhammad et al., 2024; Dawkhar et al., 2025). Equally important is the systematic assessment of AI's role in the classroom, with evidence indicating that teacher supervision significantly influences the effectiveness of AI-supported learning (Tang et al., 2025). Looking forward, adaptive hybrid models that integrate both AI-generated guidance and human feedback offer a pathway toward inclusive, personalised, and effective educational practice (Cosentino et al., 2025). In this evolving landscape, the instructor's role shifts from being solely a content provider to a learning facilitator who guides students in critically and responsibly engaging with AI tools.

3. Best Practices for Responsible AI Adoption. Best practices for responsible AI adoption in higher education require comprehensive frameworks that integrate pedagogical excellence with ethical governance, stakeholder collaboration, and continuous quality assurance. Effective institutional strategies include implementing clear disclosure rules for AI-assisted work, developing training programs on AI literacy for students and faculty, and incorporating reflective assignments that explain how AI was used (Hashmi & Bal, 2024; Alali et al., 2024). Furthermore, foundational best practices underline human-in-the-loop implementation, which involves maintaining

human oversight in AI decision-making processes and ensuring educators review and enhance AI-generated content (Alali et al., 2024). Concurrently, institutions must establish ethical guidelines and transparency by addressing data privacy, algorithmic bias, and ensuring accountability in AI operations (Dhagare et al., 2024; Francis et al., 2025). These approaches, which also include redesigning assessments to focus on process and originality and developing clear institutional policies co-created with stakeholders, collectively preserve academic integrity while enabling students to benefit from GenAI tools responsibly.

Pedagogical integration is central to successful adoption, requiring that AI deployment be aligned with specific pedagogical goals rather than technology-first approaches (Alali et al., 2024). This involves clearly defining how AI tools support learning objectives and enhance, rather than replace, human instruction. A key strategy is using AI to develop students' critical thinking and analytical skills by teaching them to strategically evaluate AI-generated content, understand its limitations, and maintain intellectual independence (Zapata-Rivera et al., 2024). While leveraging AI's personalisation capabilities, best practices also ensure that human educators interpret and contextualise AI-generated insights about student performance (Hashmi & Bal, 2024; Guettala et al., 2024). Promoting critical digital literacy is essential, as it empowers students to interrogate AI outputs and advocate for ethical design.

For sustainable implementation, a measured and resource-conscious approach is vital. This involves implementing AI tools gradually, starting with pilot programs, gathering feedback, and scaling successful approaches while learning from challenges (Alali et al., 2024). Sustainable resource planning is also critical, ensuring adequate technical infrastructure, ongoing maintenance capabilities, and the long-term viability of AI implementations. These best practices, from piloting AI-integrated pedagogies with formative evaluation to ensuring equitable access, collectively ensure that AI adoption enhances educational quality while upholding ethical standards and preserving a human-centred learning approach.

Conclusions

Generative artificial intelligence is rapidly reshaping higher education by functioning as a dynamic digital learning companion—offering personalised support, enhancing academic writing, and increasing accessibility for diverse learners. This literature review demonstrates that when integrated thoughtfully, GenAI can significantly enhance learning outcomes, promote engagement, and democratise access to high-quality educational resources. Students benefit from 24/7 tutoring, adaptive feedback, and multimodal learning experiences, while educators gain powerful tools for automating routine tasks and focusing on higher-order pedagogical goals.

However, this transformation is not without critical challenges. Risks related to academic integrity, overreliance, algorithmic bias, misinformation, and data privacy necessitate careful and proactive management. Importantly, GenAI should not replace human instruction but rather augment it—serving as a supplement within a human-centred educational framework. Evidence consistently shows that the most effective implementations involve teacher supervision, theory-informed design, and hybrid models that blend AI capabilities with human insight and mentorship.

To harness the full potential of GenAI responsibly, higher education institutions must adopt comprehensive strategies that include: (1) pedagogical frameworks grounded in educational psychology, (2) clear and adaptive academic integrity policies, (3) robust data governance and ethical guidelines, and (4) sustained faculty and student training in AI literacy. Only through collaborative, transparent, and equity-focused approaches can universities

ensure that GenAI catalyses inclusive innovation—enhancing learning without compromising the core human values of education.

References

Alali, R., Wardat, Y., Al-Saud, K., & Alhayek, K. A. (2024). Generative AI in Education: Best practices for Successful implementation. *International Journal of Religion*, *5*(9), 1016–1025. https://doi.org/10.61707/pkwb8402

Batta, A. (2024). Transforming higher education through generative AI: Opportunity and challenges. *Paradigm*, *28*(2), 241–243.

Binhammad, M. H. Y., Othman, A., Abuljadayel, L., Mheiri, H. A., Alkaabi, M., & Almarri, M. (2024). Investigating how generative AI can create personalised learning materials tailored to individual student needs. *Creative Education*, *15*(07), 1499–1523. https://doi.org/10.4236/ce.2024.157091

Bura, C., & Myakala, P. K. (2024). Advancing Transformative Education: Generative AI as a Catalyst for equity and innovation. *arXiv (Cornell University)*. https://doi.org/10.48550/arxiv.2411.15971

Cosentino, G., Anton, J., Sharma, K., Gelsomini, M., Giannakos, M., & Abrahamson, D. (2025). Generative AI and multimodal data for educational feedback: Insights from embodied math learning. *British Journal of Educational Technology*, *56*(5), 1686–1709. https://doi.org/10.1111/bjet.13587

Dawkhar, N. A., Chandekar, N. A., Panchal, N. N., & Ghatte, N. S. (2025). Beyond the blackboard: AI-Driven virtual tutors and the evolution of digital learning. *International Journal of Scientific Research in Science Engineering and Technology*, *12*(3), 125–131. https://doi.org/10.32628/ijsrset25122204

Francis, N. J., Jones, S., & Smith, D. P. (2025). Generative AI in higher education: Balancing innovation and integrity. *British Journal of Biomedical Science*, *81*, 14048.

Guettala, M., Bourekkache, S., Kazar, O., & Harous, S. (2024). Generative Artificial Intelligence in Education: Advancing Adaptive and Personalized learning. *Acta Informatica Pragensia*, *13*(3), 460–489. https://doi.org/10.18267/j.aip.235

Gupta, A., Agrawal, N., & Agrawal, H. (2025). GENERATIVE AI IN EDUCATION: A REVIEW REPORT ON CURRENT AND FUTURE TRENDS. *International Journal of Engineering Applied Sciences and Technology*, *09*(11), 96–99. https://doi.org/10.33564/ijeast.2025.v09i11.015

Hashmi, N., & Bal, A. S. (2024). Generative AI in higher education and beyond. *Business Horizons*, *67*(5), 607–614.

Israfilzade, K. (2025a). Perspective Chapter: The Impact of Generative AI in Shaping Customer Engagement – Humanising Conversational Marketing. In *Business, management and economics*. https://doi.org/10.5772/intechopen.1010894

Israfilzade, K. (2025b). The role of Generative Artificial intelligence in E-Commerce: Trends, challenges and opportunities. *The Eurasia Proceedings of Educational and Social Sciences*, *42*, 1–20. https://doi.org/10.55549/epess.906

Kars, M. E. (2023). Generative AI in education. *London Journal of Social Sciences*, *6*, 144–151. https://doi.org/10.31039/ljss.2023.6.114

Kestin, G., Miller, K., Klales, A., Milbourne, T., & Ponti, G. (2025). AI tutoring outperforms in-class active learning: an RCT introducing a novel research-based design in an authentic educational setting. *Scientific Reports*, *15*(1), 17458. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-025-97652-6

Makransky, G., Shiwalia, B. M., Herlau, T., & Blurton, S. (2025). Beyond the "Wow" factor: using generative AI for increasing generative Sense-Making. *Educational Psychology Review*, *37*(3). https://doi.org/10.1007/s10648-025-10039-x

Mittal, U., Sai, S., Chamola, V., & Devika, N. (2024). A Comprehensive Review on Generative AI for Education. *IEEE Access*, *12*, 142733–142759. https://doi.org/10.1109/access.2024.3468368

Möller, M., Nirmal, G., Fabietti, D., Stierstorfer, Q., Zakhvatkin, M., Sommerfeld, H., & Schütt, S. (2024). Revolutionising Distance Learning: A Comparative Study of Learning Progress with AI-Driven Tutoring. *arXiv (Cornell University)*. https://doi.org/10.48550/arxiv.2403.14642

Nikolopoulou, K. (2024). Generative artificial intelligence in higher education: Exploring ways of harnessing pedagogical practices with the assistance of ChatGPT. *International Journal of Changes in Education*, *1*(2), 103-111.

Stienstra, L., Mohamed, A., & Mohamed, M. (2025, June). Exploring GenAI as a Tutoring Tool: A Case Study in First-Year Computer Programming. In *Proceedings of the 30th ACM Conference on Innovation and Technology in Computer Science Education V. 1* (pp. 452-457).

Tang, Q., Deng, W., Huang, Y., Wang, S., & Zhang, H. (2025). Can Generative Artificial Intelligence be a Good Teaching Assistant?—An Empirical Analysis Based on Generative AI -Assisted Teaching. *Journal of Computer Assisted Learning*, *41*(3). https://doi.org/10.1111/jcal.70027

Yadav, A. B. (2024). The Development of AI with Generative Capabilities and Its Effect on Education. *International Journal of Science and Research (IJSR)*, *13*(5), 854–860. https://doi.org/10.21275/sr24509232318

Zapata-Rivera, D., Torre, I., Lee, C., Sarasa-Cabezuelo, A., Ghergulescu, I., & Libbrecht, P. (2024). Editorial: Generative AI in education. *Frontiers in Artificial Intelligence*, *7*, 1532896. https://doi.org/10.3389/frai.2024.15328