



Bridging the Global Divide: Identifying Critical Gaps in Supply Chain Education and Vocational Training Curriculum Design and Delivery

Dr. Syed Hassan Imam Gardezi¹

¹ PhD, Executive Director and Board Member, Union Investments LLC, United Arab Emirates

✉ **Corresponding author:**
hassanwiz17@hotmail.com



This work is licensed under CC BY-NC-ND 4.0. To view a copy of this license, visit <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0>

ABSTRACT: Global supply chains face unprecedented complexity, yet educational and vocational training systems struggle to keep pace. This paper identifies critical gaps in curriculum design and delivery for supply chain education worldwide. Through a literature review, I analyse three primary deficiencies: the theory-practice divide, a lag in adapting to digital technologies such as AI and IoT, and insufficient integration of global and sustainable perspectives. The findings underscore the urgent need for curriculum redesign that embraces experiential learning, embeds digital fluency, and fosters a holistic, sustainable mindset to develop a resilient and future-ready global supply chain workforce.

KEYWORDS: Supply Chain Education, Vocational Training, Curriculum Design, Digital Skills Gap, Experiential Learning, Sustainability in SCM, Higher Education, Pedagogy.

How to cite: Gardezi, S. H. I. (2025). Bridging the Global Divide: Identifying Critical Gaps in Supply Chain Education and Vocational Training Curriculum Design and Delivery. *International Conference on Pedagogical Science and Digital Learning 2025*, (pp. 249-252). Futurity Research Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.17629346>

Introduction

The global economy is fundamentally dependent on efficient and resilient supply chains. Recent disruptions, from pandemics to geopolitical tensions, have underscored the critical need for a skilled workforce capable of managing modern logistical challenges. However, a significant disconnect persists between the dynamic requirements of the global supply chain industry and the static nature of many educational and vocational training programs (Gonzalez & Soberano, 2024).

This gap creates a critical skills shortage, leaving organisations vulnerable and hindering economic stability. The problem is multifaceted, stemming from outdated curricula, inadequate delivery methods, and a misalignment between academic institutions, vocational trainers, and industry needs. This paper aims to systematically identify and analyse these gaps to inform the development of more effective, future-oriented educational frameworks.

Research Aim and Research Questions

A review of contemporary literature reveals a consistent theme: the rapid evolution of supply chain management (SCM) has outpaced the development of corresponding educational curricula. Traditional programs often emphasise theoretical models of logistics and inventory management while underemphasizing the practical, technology-driven realities of the field (Wu & Jin, 2023).

Furthermore, research indicates a significant lag in incorporating emerging technologies, such as Artificial Intelligence (AI), the Internet of Things (IoT), and blockchain, into the standard curriculum (Hofmann, 2022). Another critical gap identified is the lack of a global and sustainable perspective. Many curricula are designed with a domestic or regional focus, failing to equip students with the cross-cultural competencies and understanding of global trade regulations necessary for international roles (Ghadge et al., 2021). Simultaneously, the integration of Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) principles and circular economy concepts remains inconsistent, despite their growing importance to corporate strategy and regulatory compliance (Yildizbaşı et al., 2021).

The purpose of this article is to provide a comprehensive overview and analysis of the current deficiencies in the design and delivery of supply chain education and vocational training on a global scale. It seeks to move beyond identifying singular issues and instead present a synthesised framework of interconnected gaps that hinder the development of a competent global supply chain workforce.

Research Results

My analysis identifies three critical, interconnected gaps in global supply chain education:

1. The Theory-Practice Divide: A persistent chasm exists between academic knowledge and practical application. Curricula are often heavy on theory but light on real-world problem-solving. As noted by Wu and Jin (2023), graduates may understand concepts such as inventory optimisation but cannot manage real-time disruptions in a live supply chain. This is exacerbated

by a lack of widespread, high-quality experiential learning components, such as simulations, internships, and live case studies with industry partners (Gonzalez & Soberano, 2024).

2. **The Technological Adaptation Lag:** The digital transformation of supply chains is accelerating, yet educational content is lagging. There is a significant shortage of training in data analytics, AI-driven demand forecasting, and automation. Hofmann (2022) argues that SCM education is at a crossroads, where failing to integrate digital topics risks rendering graduates obsolete. Vocational training, in particular, often focuses on manual processes without upskilling workers for the increasingly automated warehouse and logistics environments of the future.
3. **Insufficient Global and Sustainable Mindset:** Curricula often lack a robust global component, failing to address complexities such as international trade law and cross-cultural negotiation. Concurrently, while sustainability is a buzzword, its integration as a core, strategic element of SCM education is inconsistent. Future professionals need to be proficient in designing and managing circular supply chains, as well as understanding the full lifecycle carbon footprint of products (Ghadge et al., 2021; Yildizbaşı et al., 2021). The delivery mechanisms also present a gap. While digital learning platforms offer a solution for scalable education, their practical use in teaching hands-on SCM skills is underdeveloped.

Conclusions

The gaps in global supply chain education and training are significant and pose a substantial risk to economic resilience. To address these deficiencies, a concerted effort is required from academia, industry, and policymakers. Curriculum redesign must be prioritised to bridge the theory-practice divide through enhanced experiential learning opportunities. It is imperative to aggressively integrate digital literacy and advanced technology modules into both academic and vocational programs. Ultimately, a conscious effort must be made to instil a global and sustainable mindset in all supply chain professionals. Future research should focus on developing and evaluating new pedagogical models that leverage digital learning tools to create more effective, accessible, and relevant supply chain education on a global scale.

References

- Ghadge, A., Er Kara, M., Mogale, D. G., Choudhary, S., & Tiwari, M. K. (2021). Sustainability implementation challenges in food supply chains: A case of UK artisan cheese producers. *Production Planning & Control*, 32(14), 1191–1206.
- González, E., & Soberano, M. (2024). Bridging the gap: Aligning SCM curriculum with Industry 4.0 demands through experiential learning. *The International Journal of Logistics Management*, 35(1), 210–228.
- Hofmann, E. (2022). The supply chain economist: A new professional profile for the digital age. *Logistics*,

6(2), 25.

Wu, Y., & Jin, X. (2023). Rethinking supply chain education: A review and framework for future skills. *Journal of Supply Chain Management, Science, and Systems*, 1(1), 45-60.

Yildizbaşı, A., Ünal, P., & Yusuf, A. (2021). Developing a sustainable supply chain education framework: A systematic literature review. *Sustainable Production and Consumption*, 27, 1037-1059.