



Section of the conference: Management

Sekcja konferencji: Kierownictwo

How to cite: Hart, G. (2025). The importance of bottom-up collaboration in corporate organizational development. *World Conference on Emerging Science, Innovation and Policy 2025*. Futurity Research Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.15751818>

The Importance of Bottom-Up Collaboration in Corporate Organizational Development

Garrett Hart

Doctor of Business Administration, Student, Marymount University, U.S.A, <https://orcid.org/0009-0008-8003-2032>

Accepted: June 11, 2025 | **Published:** June 27, 2025 | **Language:** English

Abstract: This theoretical paper examines the importance of bottom-up collaboration in corporate organizational development. Drawing on recent literature, it highlights how engaging employees in decision-making and innovation processes leads to improved adaptability, innovation, and successful organizational change. The findings underscore that when employees are empowered to share ideas and voice concerns, organizations benefit from increased knowledge sharing, reduced resistance to change, and a stronger culture of continuous improvement. However, effective bottom-up collaboration requires supportive leadership and structured resources. Overall, this study provides evidence-based insights into how collaborative, employee-driven approaches can serve as crucial drivers of sustainable organizational development.

Keywords: bottom-up collaboration, organizational development, employee engagement, participative decision-making, innovation



Introduction

In the contemporary corporate landscape, organizational development increasingly relies on bottom-up collaboration. Bottom-up collaboration involves employees at all levels contributing ideas and feedback to drive innovation and change, complementing traditional top-down strategies (Johnson, 2022). By leveraging these grassroots contributions, organizations can adapt more effectively to dynamic environments (Nguyen, 2021).

Bottom-up collaboration thrives when key individual and organizational conditions are present. One crucial factor is cognitive flexibility, the capacity to adapt one's thinking to novel situations (Martin & Rubin, 1995). Employees with high cognitive flexibility can readily generate creative solutions and navigate change, abilities crucial for grassroots innovation (Smith, 2020). Another essential element is voice behavior, which refers to employees' discretionary communication of suggestions or concerns aimed at improving the organization (Morrison, 2014). Voice allows frontline insights to surface but flourishes only in a climate of psychological safety (Edmondson, 1999).

Equally important are contextual and behavioral factors that support bottom-up efforts. Psychological safety is a shared belief that expressing novel ideas or admitting mistakes will not lead to negative repercussions, fostering an atmosphere where employees speak up and experiment without fear of reprisal (Edmondson, 1999). This supportive environment encourages organizational citizenship behavior (OCB). OCB denotes discretionary efforts beyond formal job requirements that benefit the organization (Organ, 1988). Such behaviors reflect a workforce willing to invest extra effort and support peers, thereby amplifying the impact of bottom-up initiatives.

The interaction of cognitive flexibility, voice behavior, psychological safety, and OCB is central to effective bottom-up collaboration in corporate organizational development. However, research has primarily examined these factors in isolation, and their combined influence in this context remains underexplored (Taylor, 2023). Addressing this gap, the present study examines how these four constructs collectively facilitate bottom-up collaboration in corporate organizational development, offering insights into building more responsive and innovative corporate cultures.

Research Aim and Research Questions

To examine the influence of cognitive flexibility, voice behavior, psychological safety, and OCB on bottom-up collaboration in corporate organizational development.

RQ1: How does employees' cognitive flexibility facilitate bottom-up collaboration in corporate organizational development?

RQ2: In what ways does employee voice behavior contribute to bottom-up collaboration within the organization?

RQ3: How does psychological safety in the workplace impact employees' willingness to engage in bottom-up collaboration?

RQ4: How do organizational citizenship behaviors among employees support and enhance bottom-up collaborative initiatives in corporate settings?



Research Results

The analysis suggests that bottom-up collaborative strategies make a significant contribution to organizational innovation and adaptability. Engaging lower-level employees in decision-making unleashes a flow of innovative ideas from all levels of the company, as successful organizations motivate employees to share information and creative solutions proactively (Park et al., 2014). This participative approach leverages employees' tacit knowledge and intrinsic motivation, leading to new intrapreneurial initiatives and problem-solving efforts that drive competitiveness. Consistent with these findings, recent empirical evidence confirms that participative decision-making significantly enhances employees' cognitive flexibility, a mental ability that enables adaptive and creative thinking, and this, in turn, fosters higher creative output (Kwon & Kim, 2025). By involving employees in organizational decisions, companies create conditions for innovation to emerge from the bottom up, reinforcing theoretical perspectives in organizational development that employee empowerment is a catalyst for continuous improvement and adaptability (Kwon & Kim, 2025; Park et al., 2014).

The results also demonstrate that bottom-up collaboration bolsters employees' voice behavior and knowledge sharing. When individuals at lower levels are invited to participate in decision-making, they feel encouraged and responsible for contributing ideas, leading to more frequent suggestion-making and the expression of concerns (Guo & Wang, 2017; Wong et al., 2018). Empirical studies find that employees involved in joint decision-making are significantly more likely to share diverse opinions and speak up about problems or improvements, indicating a stronger proactive communication climate (Guo & Wang, 2017). Notably, Kwon and Kim (2025) found that participative decision practices not only directly increase creativity but also indirectly promote voice behavior by first increasing employees' cognitive flexibility (i.e., their capacity to consider different perspectives and adapt). This suggests that as employees become more cognitively flexible and confident in their ideas, they are more willing and able to voice those ideas to management. In essence, a collaborative bottom-up environment empowers employees to share knowledge and feedback actively, enhancing collective learning and responsiveness within the organization.

Moreover, the collaborative bottom-up approach is associated with improved employee attitudes and elevated organizational citizenship behaviors. Consistent with prior research, greater involvement in decision-making is associated with higher organizational commitment, increased job satisfaction, and a greater willingness to exceed formal job requirements (Elele & Fields, 2010; Parnell & Crandall, 2003; Wong et al., 2018). Participative decision processes appear to generate "tremendous rewards" for both employees and organizations, including more substantial commitment, enhanced performance, more citizenship behavior, and even lower turnover intentions as employees develop a sense of ownership over organizational outcomes (Elele & Fields, 2010; Parnell & Crandall, 2003; Wong et al., 2018). These positive effects occur because employees who have a voice in shaping decisions tend to feel more valued and respected, which in turn boosts their morale and enhances their alignment with the organization's goals. In turn, this heightened engagement can translate into discretionary efforts such as helping behaviors and creative problem-solving that benefit the organization as a whole. Therefore, the bottom-up collaborative approach not only enhances



innovation and communication but also fosters a more committed and proactive workforce, underscoring its broad value for organizational development.

However, realizing the full benefits of bottom-up collaboration depends on supportive organizational conditions, particularly leadership support, trust, and a culture of psychological safety. The findings underscore that participative initiatives are most effective when leaders demonstrate openness and receptiveness to employee input. In practice, this means management must empower employees and maintain symmetrical communication channels, as these factors strengthen the quality of employee-organization relationships necessary for effective collaboration (Park et al., 2014). A climate of mutual trust is especially crucial: Employees are far more willing to speak up when they trust that leaders will listen and respond fairly. Recent research confirms that trust in leadership plays a mediating role between participative decision-making and voice behavior: Employees given a say are more likely to voice their ideas if they perceive their leaders as trustworthy and supportive (Silla et al., 2020).

Additionally, a strong safety climate, along with a shared sense of psychological safety, fosters bottom-up collaboration. When employees feel safe from ridicule or retribution (i.e., high psychological safety), they contribute suggestions more freely and take interpersonal risks in pursuit of organizational goals (Edmondson, 1999). Participative decision-making itself can help cultivate this safety; studies show that collaborative decision-making practices encourage a trusting, safe team environment where individuals know their input is valued (Edmondson, 1999; Wong et al., 2018). To conclude, these results highlight that bottom-up collaboration thrives in an enabling culture. Leadership's role in fostering trust and an open, safe atmosphere is pivotal to unlocking employees' potential, allowing the organization to fully capitalize on bottom-up ideas for sustainable development and positive change.

Conclusions

The findings of this study demonstrate that bottom-up collaboration in corporate development significantly bolsters employees' cognitive flexibility while cultivating a climate of psychological safety. In addressing the research questions, the results show that empowering employees with a participative voice fosters greater adaptability in thinking and openness to new ideas (Kwon & Kim, 2025). Such an inclusive environment also reinforces employees' confidence that speaking up is welcomed rather than penalized (Edmondson, 1999). As a result, personnel are more willing to voice innovative suggestions and engage in constructive extra-role activities that benefit the organization. This convergence of heightened voice behavior and OCB reflects a workforce that is both agile in responding to change and deeply committed to collective success.

These outcomes carry broader theoretical significance by bridging individual cognitive and behavioral changes with the theory of organizational development. Evidence that participative decision-making enhances flexible thought and prosocial conduct validates and extends empowerment and psychological safety theories (Frazier et al., 2017; Organ, 1988). Employee-driven initiatives are not merely complementary to top-down strategy but rather essential drivers of sustainable change. Practically, leaders are encouraged to institutionalize bottom-up collaboration through transparent communication channels, recognizing employee input, and providing training



that fosters a safe and inclusive culture. By implementing such measures, organizations can harness bottom-up engagement to foster continuous innovation, high levels of citizenship behavior, and resilient growth.

References

- Bah, F., Hassi, A., & Komljenovic, D. (2024). The role of humble leadership and employee involvement in driving effective organizational change: Empirical evidence from telecom and refinery sectors. *Journal of Business Research*, 165, 114137.
- Björk, J. M., Bolander, P., & Forsman, A. K. (2021). Bottom-up interventions effective in promoting work engagement: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 12, 730421.
- Cañas, J. J., Quesada, J. F., Antolí, A., & Fajardo, I. (2003). Cognitive flexibility and adaptability to environmental changes in dynamic complex problem-solving tasks. *Ergonomics*, 46(5), 482-501.
- Edmondson, A. (1999). Psychological safety and learning behavior in work teams. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 44(2), 350-383.
- Elele, J., & Fields, D. (2010). Participative decision making and organizational commitment: Comparing Nigerian and American employees. *Cross Cultural Management: An International Journal*, 17(4), 368-392.
- Frazier, M. L., Fainshmidt, S., Klinger, R. L., Pezeshkan, A., & Vracheva, V. (2017). Psychological safety: A meta-analytic review and extension. *Personnel Psychology*, 70(1), 113-165.
- Ghani, M. U., Abdullah, I., & Sulaiman, M. (2023). Linking employee engagement to innovative work behavior: The mediating role of voice behavior. *Management Science Letters*, 13(1), 57-68.
- Guo, M., & Wang, D. (2017). Employee voice behavior: A review of recent developments and a research agenda. *Human Resource Development Review*, 16(2), 123-145.
- Johnson, P. (2022). Participatory management and adaptive capacity: Toward sustainable organizational growth. *Journal of Organizational Change Management*, 35(3), 411-426.
- Khalil, M. S., Atshan, N. A., & Arif, M. (2021). Human capital sustainability leadership and knowledge-sharing behavior: The mediating role of employee empowerment. *Sustainability*, 13(3), 1521.
- Kim, M. (2022). Participative decision-making and performance: The moderating effects of team context. *Journal of Management and Organization*, 28(3), 582-598.
- Kim, S., & Yoon, J. (2025). Empowering leadership and adaptive performance: A moderated mediation model of knowledge sharing and organizational support. *Journal of Leadership and Organizational Studies*, 32(1), 23-39.
- Kwon, B., & Kim, M. (2020). A review of participative decision-making: From the perspective of creativity. *Human Resource Development Review*, 19(3), 233-257.
- Kwon, S.-H., & Kim, J.-S. (2025). The relationship between participative decision-making within an organization and employees' cognitive flexibility, creativity, and voice behavior. *Behavioral Sciences*, 15(1), 51.



- Martin, M. M., & Rubin, R. B. (1995). A new measure of cognitive flexibility. *Psychological Reports*, 76(2), 623-626.
- Morrison, E. W. (2014). Employee voice and silence. *Annual Review of Organizational Psychology and Organizational Behavior*, 1, 173-197.
- Nguyen, L. T. (2021). Distributed leadership and employee innovation: Examining the role of bottom-up communication. *Journal of Management Development*, 40(6), 483-499.
- Organ, D. W. (1988). *Organizational citizenship behavior: The good soldier syndrome*. Lexington Books.
- Park, R., Song, Y., & Lim, D. H. (2014). Organizational voice: The missing link between participative decision-making and organizational performance. *Human Resource Development International*, 17(4), 435-450.
- Parnell, J. A., & Crandall, W. (2003). Propensity for participative decision-making, job satisfaction, and organizational commitment: An empirical study. *Journal of Leadership & Organizational Studies*, 9(2), 45-57.
- Renkema, M., & Bos-Nehles, A. (2024). The implementation of bottom-up innovation in a formalized context: A resource-mobilization perspective. *Creativity and Innovation Management*, 33(4), 639-653.
- Silla, I., Sora, B., & González-Romá, V. (2020). The consequences of job insecurity for employee voice and organizational commitment: The roles of trust in the organization and supervisory support. *Economic and Industrial Democracy*, 41(2), 379-402.
- Smith, T. M. (2020). Cognitive adaptability in corporate environments: Predicting resilience through decision-making flexibility. *Journal of Applied Behavioral Science*, 56(2), 163-180.
- Taylor, R. C. (2023). Rethinking bottom-up collaboration: Toward an integrated model of employee-driven innovation. *Academy of Management Perspectives*, 37(1), 95-110.
- Tedone, M., & Bruk-Lee, V. (2021). Investigating employee voice using self-determination theory: A meta-analytic review. *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, 42(8), 1087-1108.
- Van Dyne, L., & LePine, J. A. (1998). Helping and voice extra-role behaviors: Evidence of construct and predictive validity. *Academy of Management Journal*, 41(1), 108-119.
- Valverde-Moreno, S., Tresserras-Rimbau, A., & Caplliure-Giner, E. (2021). How participative leadership fosters innovative behavior: The mediating role of intrinsic motivation. *Journal of Business Research*, 136, 457-466.
- Wong, Y. T., Ngo, H. Y., & Wong, C. S. (2018). Antecedents of organizational citizenship behavior: The roles of perceived organizational support and psychological contract breach. *Asia Pacific Journal of Management*, 35(1), 59-77.